

ISSN 1220-4277

**SOCIETATEA DE ȘTIINȚE MATEMATICE
DIN ROMÂNIA**

GAZETA MATEMATICĂ

**REVISTĂ DE CULTURĂ MATEMATICĂ
PENTRU TINERET**

Fondată în anul 1895

9

Număr realizat cu sprijinul Filialei S.S.M. Iași

Din cuprins:

- ARTICOLE ȘI NOTE MATEMATICE
- EXAMENE ȘI CONCURSURI
- PROBLEME REZOLVATE PENTRU GIMNAZIU ȘI LICEU
- PROBLEME PROPUSE PENTRU CICLUL PRIMAR, GIMNAZIU, LICEU, CONCURSUL ANUAL AL REZOLVITORILOR, OLIMPIADE
- RUBRICA REZOLVITORILOR

Anul CVII, nr. 9 / 2002

- [2] C.Costara, D.Popa, *Berkeley Preliminary Exams - culegere de probleme*, Ed. Ex-Ponto, Constanța, 2000.
- [3] Gh.Radu, *Algebra categoriilor și functorilor*, Ed Junimea, Iași, 1988.
- [4] J.Rotman, *Homological Algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp., 1972.
- [5] P.N.de Souza, J.N.Silva, *Berkeley Problems in Matematics*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [6] M.Țena, *Algebră - structuri fundamentale pentru liceu*, Ed. Corint, București, 1996.

Profesor,
Liceul „Garabet Ibrăileanu“
Str. Oastei, nr. 1, 6600 Iași
e-mail: popagabriel@go.com

ON A FIRST ORDER NONLINEAR RECURRENCE DEFINED USING THE MODULUS FUNCTION

by Paul Georgescu and Gabriel Popa

On concern in this note is the limit of the first order nonlinear recurrence defined by $x_{n+1} = |x_n - a_n|$, $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, under some hypotheses on the sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$, which will be made precise afterwards.

Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of real numbers defined by:

$$(R) \quad x_{n+1} = |x_n - a_n|, \quad n \geq 1, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R},$$

$(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ being a sequence of real numbers which satisfies

$$(P) \quad a_n > 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

We shall treat the following cases:

- I. $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; II. $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ strictly increasing and bounded;
III. $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$, strictly increasing and unbounded.

In the first case, one obtains the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence defined by (R), where $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a null sequence which satisfies (P). Then $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent.*

Proof. Removing x_1 if necessary, we may suppose that $x_n \geq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let us denote $I_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^*; x_n \geq a_n\}$ and $I_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^*; x_n < a_n\}$.

Case 1. If I_2 is finite, then $\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $x_n \geq a_n, \forall n \geq n_1$, so $x_{n+1} = x_n - a_n, \forall n \geq 1$. Then $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an ultimately decreasing sequence of positive numbers and so it is convergent.

Case 2. If I_1 is finite, then $\exists n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $0 \leq x_n \leq a_n, \forall n \geq n_2$ and since $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a null sequence, $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is also a null sequence.

Case 3. If I_1 and I_2 are both infinite, let us detail I_1 as

$$I_1 = \{x_{n_1}, \dots, x_{n'_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots, x_{n'_2}, \dots\}$$

with $n_k \leq n'_k, n'_k < n_{k+1}$ and I_1 contains together with x_{n_k} and $x_{n'_k}$ all the intermediary terms $x_i, n_k < i < n'_k$. In order to insure the convergence of $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$, our goal is to conveniently majorize x_i for $i \in I_1$.

Denote $S_k = \{x_{n_k}, \dots, x_{n'_k}\}$. Since $x_{n_{k+1}} = x_{n_k} - a_{n_k}, \forall i \in \overline{0, n_{k+1} - n_k - 1}$, we see that $x_{n_k} \geq x_{n_k+1} \geq \dots \geq x_{n'_k}$ for each k . Also, since $x_{n_k} = a_{n_{k-1}} - x_{n_k}$, one has that $x_{n_k} \leq a_{n_{k-1}}$ for each k , so $x_i \leq a_{n_{k-1}}, \forall i \in S_k$. Together with the definition of I_2 this obviously yields that $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a null sequence.

Remark 1. It is clear from the above proof that in Cases 2 and 3 one obtains that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$, result which does not necessarily hold in the first case (for instance, if $(a_n)_{n \geq 1} = \left(\frac{1}{n(n+1)}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and $x_1 > 1$, $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is not a null sequence). With respect to this, a necessary and sufficient condition for the finiteness of I_2 is:

$$(C) \quad \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x_{n_0} \geq \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} a_k.$$

In view of this remark, we may state the following consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence defined by (R), where $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a null sequence which satisfies (P) and also for which $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = +\infty$. Then $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a null sequence.

We now indicate some applications of this result.

Problem 1 (P. Georgescu, G. Popa). Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence defined by $x_{n+1} = \left| x_n - b_n f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right|$, where $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence such that $0 < m \leq b_n \leq M, \forall n \geq 1$, for some $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$.

Solution. Here $a_n = b_n f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ is a null sequence which satisfies (P).

Since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \cdot \frac{1}{n}$ is divergent, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges also and we may apply Corollary 1.

Proof. One has $x_{n_0+2k} = x_{n_0} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (a_{n_0+2i+1} - a_{n_0+2i})$. Since $(x_{2k})_{k \geq 1}$ and $(x_{2k+1})_{k \geq 0}$ have finite limits l_1 and l_2 and $x_{n+1} = a_n - x_n, \forall n \geq n_0$, we see that $l_1 + l_2 = c$. Therefore, a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_0+2k} = \frac{c}{2}$, which finishes the proof.

Remark 2. The convergence condition in Theorem 2 may be reformulated as $x_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_{n_0+2i+1} - a_{n_0+2i}) = \frac{c}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0-1} a_i$.

Theorem 2 may be used in solving the following problems.

Problem 3 (*P.Georgescu, G.Popa*). Prove that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = \left| x_n - \left(2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right|, n \geq 1$ and $x_1 = 3$, is divergent.

Solution. In this case, $n_0 = 3, x_{n_0} = \frac{1}{2}, c = 2$.

Then, $x_{3+2n} = x_3 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(2 - \frac{1}{3+2i+1} - 2 + \frac{1}{3+2i} \right)$ and it is seen that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{3+2n} = \ln 2$, so $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is divergent, since for the convergence it would be required to have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{3+2n} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) = 1$.

Problem 4 (*P.Georgescu, G.Popa*). Prove that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = \left| x_n - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \right|, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, diverges for infinitely many x_1 and converges for infinitely many x_1 .

Solution. Let $n_0 \geq 5$ be arbitrary, but fixed. In order to have $n_0 = \min\{i; x_i < a_i\}$, x_1 should satisfy:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_0-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} \right)^i \leq x_1 < \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} \right)^i. \quad (1)$$

As seen earlier, for the convergence of $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ we need also:

$$x_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{n_0+2i+1} \right)^{n_0+2i+1} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n_0+2i} \right)^{n_0+2i} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} \right)^i + \frac{e}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Given $n_0 \geq 5$, it is seen that (1) and (2) are both satisfied for a single x_1 (which increases as n_0 increases). To see this, we may use the following estimates from [1]:

$$e - \frac{e}{2n+2} > \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n > e - \frac{e}{2n+1}, \forall n \geq 3,$$

to conclude that:

Proof. One has $x_{n_0+2k} = x_{n_0} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (a_{n_0+2i+1} - a_{n_0+2i})$. Since $(x_{2k})_{k \geq 1}$ and $(x_{2k+1})_{k \geq 0}$ have finite limits l_1 and l_2 and $x_{n+1} = a_n - x_n, \forall n \geq n_0$, we see that $l_1 + l_2 = c$. Therefore, a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_0+2k} = \frac{c}{2}$, which finishes the proof.

Remark 2. The convergence condition in Theorem 2 may be reformulated as $x_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a_{n_0+2i+1} - a_{n_0+2i}) = \frac{c}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_0-1} a_i$.

Theorem 2 may be used in solving the following problems.

Problem 3 (*P.Georgescu, G.Popa*). Prove that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = \left| x_n - \left(2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right|, n \geq 1$ and $x_1 = 3$, is divergent.

Solution. In this case, $n_0 = 3, x_{n_0} = \frac{1}{2}, c = 2$.

Then, $x_{3+2n} = x_3 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(2 - \frac{1}{3+2i+1} - 2 + \frac{1}{3+2i} \right)$ and it is seen that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{3+2n} = \ln 2$, so $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is divergent, since for the convergence it would be required to have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{3+2n} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(2 - \frac{1}{n} \right) = 1$.

Problem 4 (*P.Georgescu, G.Popa*). Prove that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = \left| x_n - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \right|, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, diverges for infinitely many x_1 and converges for infinitely many x_1 .

Solution. Let $n_0 \geq 5$ be arbitrary, but fixed. In order to have $n_0 = \min \{ i; x_i < a_i \}, x_1$ should satisfy:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_0-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} \right)^i \leq x_1 < \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} \right)^i. \quad (1)$$

As seen earlier, for the convergence of $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ we need also:

$$x_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{n_0+2i+1} \right)^{n_0+2i+1} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n_0+2i} \right)^{n_0+2i} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_0-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{i} \right)^i + \frac{e}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Given $n_0 \geq 5$, it is seen that (1) and (2) are both satisfied for a single x_1 (which increases as n_0 increases). To see this, we may use the following estimates from [1]:

$$e - \frac{e}{2n+2} > \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n > e - \frac{e}{2n+1}, \forall n \geq 3,$$

to conclude that:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n_0 + 2i + 1} \right)^{n_0 + 2i + 1} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n_0 + 2i} \right)^{n_0 + 2i} \right] < \frac{6e}{2(n_0 + 1) + 1},$$

so the above sum is majorized by $\frac{e}{2}$ for $n_0 \geq 5$. Therefore one of the estimates in (1) is obtained, the other being obvious.

One, therefore, has infinitely many x_1 for which $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent (a countable subset of \mathbb{R}) and also infinitely many x_1 for which $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is divergent (the complementary set of the above).

We now study the case in which $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is strictly increasing and unbounded (that is, Case III).

As seen in Lemma 1, the subsequences $(x_{2k})_{k \geq 1}$ and $(x_{2k+1})_{k \geq 0}$ have limits, finite or not. However, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = +\infty$, if $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ has a limit, this can be only $+\infty$.

Let us denote $T_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k a_k$. It is obvious that $T_{2k} > 0$ and $T_{2k+1} < 0$ and an easy computation yields that:

$$x_{n_0+k} = (-1)^{n_0+k-1} T_{n_0+k-1} - (-1)^{n_0+k-1} (T_{n_0} + (-1)^{n_0} x_{n_0}), \quad (3)$$

where $n_0 = \min\{i, x_i < a_i\}$.

We now obtain the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence defined by (R), with $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfying (P) and being strictly increasing and unbounded. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = +\infty$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n T_n = +\infty$ and, in this case, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{(-1)^n T_n} = +\infty$.*

The proof is straight forward and it is based on (3).

We may use this result to solve the following problem:

Problem 5 ([1], Problem 295, p.105, modified). *Let $x_0 > 0$. Find the limit of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ which verifies $x_{n+1} = |x_n - n|$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, and prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{n} = \frac{1}{2}$.*

Solution. Here $(-1)^n T_n = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n = 2k \\ \frac{n+1}{2}, & \text{if } n = 2k+1 \end{cases}$, so $(-1)^n T_n \rightarrow \infty$ (and therefore $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ as well), and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{(-1)^n T_n} = 1$, which immediately implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{n} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 3. One does not necessarily obtain that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{a_n} = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$; this quotient may not have a limit in some cases. This may be seen if $(a_n)_{n \geq 1} = (n^2)_{n \geq 1}$, for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{2n}}{a_{2n}} = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{2n+1}}{a_{2n+1}} = \frac{3}{4}$.

The above considerations may be extended to systems of recurrences. We hereby indicate an example.

Problem 6 (*P. Georgescu, G. Popa*). Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequences defined by

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \left| y_n - \frac{1}{n} \right| \\ y_{n+1} = \left| x_n - \sin \frac{1}{n^2} \right| \end{cases}, \quad n \geq 1, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Prove that}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 0.$$

Solution. We see that $y_{n+2} = \left| \left| y_n - \frac{1}{n} \right| - \sin \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \right| = |y_n - c_n|$,
with $c_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} + \sin \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}, & \text{if } y_n \geq \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{n} - \sin \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}, & \text{if } y_n < \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$

It is seen that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{2k+1} = \infty$, so Corollary 1 implies that $(y_{2k+1})_{k \geq 0}$ and $(y_{2k})_{k \geq 1}$ are null sequences, so $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a null sequence. Since $x_{n+1} = \left| y_n - \frac{1}{n} \right|$ for $n \geq 1$, we deduce that $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is also a null sequence.

References

- [1] Andrei Vernescu, *Sequences of real numbers: a problem book* (Romanian), Bucharest University Publishing House, 2000.

Yokohama National University,
Japan
e-mail: vpgeo@go.com

Liceul „Garabet Ibrăileanu“,
Iași
e-mail: popagabriel@go.com

EXAMENE ȘI CONCURSURI

CONCURSUL DE MATEMATICĂ „FLORICA T. CÂMPAN“
FAZA INTERJUDEȚEANĂ, 25 MAI 2002, IAȘI

prezentare de Dan Brânzei

O proiectare de competiție reprezintă un vis, dar poate fi fapt pozitiv dacă gândește să măsoare profund calități și deveniri și să completeze demersuri didactice. Prima întrupare a competiției arată ce a fost bun în proiect și ce se mai poate îmbunătăți. A doua întrupare, dacă este reușită, se instituie în săgeată spre viitor. A doua întrupare a fazei interjudețene a concursului *Florica T. Câmpan* a fost o reușită: subiecte corecte, uneori ghiduse, cerând